**Cal TF Peer Review Checklist: Workpaper Review Checklist for Sign-Off**

After thoroughly reading the workpaper does it appear complete and comprehensive?

Do the assumptions seem reasonable?

Are there any technical questions that you don’t feel were addressed (i.e. how modeled, equations, inputs, etc)?

Does the baseline chosen reflect industry standard practice?

Do sources cited appear to represent the best available data for this measure?

Are you aware of any additional sources of information that should be investigated to determine if any better reference data is available?

Have you reviewed the feedback from the PA WP sponsor technical reviewer(s) to the WP developer as well as the feedback from the WP developer back to the PA sponsor technical reviewer(s)?

Is there anyone else you feel should review and offer feedback before it is presented to CPUC Staff?

When you have completed your review please acknowledge by signing and dating below. ***Please attach any notes or comments associated with your review.***

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Workpaper Reviewed (Description and Number/Revision)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Cal TF Member Review (Name/Date)